

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,355	01/11/2002	Laurel A. Novacek	968-158	6801
75	90 02/25/2003			
NIXON & vANDERHYE P.C.			EXAMINER	
8th Floor 1100 North Glebe Road			· KENNEDY, SHARON E	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/042,355

Applicant(s)



Examiner

Sharon Kennedy

Art Unit 3763

Novacek et al.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing date of this communication.	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appire a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims 1	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	eve been received in Application No				
application from the International Bur	documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e),				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

p168.78 his application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: Figures 1-4

Species 2: Figures 5-7

Species 3: Figures 8-12

Species 4: Figures 13-14

Species 5: Figures 15-18

Species 6: Figures 19-23

Species 7: Figures 24

Species 8: Figures 25a-25b

Species 9: Figures 25c-25d

Species 10: Figures 26-32

Species 11: Figures 33-42

Species 12: Figures 43-47

Species 13: Figures 48-50

Species 14: Figures 51-52

Species 15: Figure 53

Species 16: Figures 54

Species 17: Figures 55

Species 18: Figure 56

Species 19: Figures 57-62

Species 20: Figures 63-64

Species 21: Figures 65-68

Species 22: Figures 69-71

Species 23: Figures 72-77

Species 24: Figures 78-79

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,355
Art Unit: 3763

3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Richard Besha on February 24, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 10/042,355

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 305-0154.

February 24, 2003

Sharon Kennedy